

Meeting Digital and Technology Standards

eting digital and technology standards in schools and colleges (DfE) Sphere Federatio	
should identify and assign rates and reappropriations to manage year filtering and manitaring evets	comment
should identify and assign roles and responsibilities to manage your filtering and monitoring system. Have governors or proprietors identified and assigned a member of the senior leadership team and a	CW as Federation DSL (KH & NB in other schools) & PW to support
governor, to be responsible for ensuring these standards are met?	CW as rederation DSL (Kira NB in other schools) & FW to support
Have governors or proprietors identified and assigned the roles and responsibilities of staff and third	Sphere Federation work alongside Adept as ICT support (SQ, HoS, PW
parties, for example, external service providers?	liaise with them alongside HoF)
Does the Senior Leadership Team understand that they are responsible for:	guidance followed from KCSIE and other policies
procuring filtering and monitoring systems	
documenting decisions on what is blocked or allowed and why	
reviewing the effectiveness of your provisionoverseeing reports	
Has the SLT ensured that all staff:	staff follow guidance within several policies including:
• understand their role	- Code of Conduct
are appropriately trained	- Safeguarding and CP
follow policies, processes and procedures	- KCSIE
act on reports and concerns	- GSWP
	- online safety (acceptable use)
	CPD
Are arrangements in place for governors or proprietors, SLT, DSL and IT service providers to work closely	evidence in GB minutes; GB visits; job descriptions; contract/agreemen
together?	Nextgen and previous provider, Adept
Does the DSL take lead responsibility for safeguarding and online safety, which could include	CW as Federation DSL (KH & NB in other schools) & PW (DDSL @
overseeing and acting on:	Moortown) to support
filtering and monitoring reports safeguarding concerns	
checks to filtering and monitoring systems?	
Does the IT service provider have technical responsibility for:	yes
maintaining filtering and monitoring systems	,500
providing filtering and monitoring reports	
completing actions following concerns or checks to systems	
Has the IT service provider worked with the senior leadership team and DSL to:	reviews and check are done regularly internally and any issues raised v
procure systems	Nextgen
• identify risk	
carry out reviews	
carry out checks	
should review your filtering and monitoring provision at least annually	

Have governing bodies and proprietors ensured that filtering and monitoring provision is reviewed at	GB minutes; GB visits - DSL review annually alongside Governor
least annually, to to identify the current provision, any gaps, and the specific needs of your pupils and	responsible for safeguarding and filtering. Records kept.
staff?	
Are reviews conducted by SLT, DSL, the IT service provider and involve the responsible governor?	yes
Are the results of the online safety review recorded for reference and made available to those entitled to	actioned from 2024/25 onwards - this has been done previously but not
inspect that information?	formally recorded for reference
Does the review cover all required elements (as a minimum)?	yes
Have reviews informed:	see above
related safeguarding or technology policies and procedures	
roles and responsibilities	
training of staff	
curriculum and learning opportunities	
procurement decisions	
how often and what is checked	
monitoring strategies	
Does the review ensure that checks of the system have been carried out?	ves
Checks on Filtering	
Has the system (or any part of it) been tampered with, changed or turned off?	no
Do the checks cover:	no Yes – SWGFL checks are regularly carried out by senior leaders – checking
	iPads, staff laptops and pupil laptops
• school owned devices and services, including those used off site	iraus, stail laptops and pupil laptops
• geographical areas across the site	
user groups, for example, teachers, pupils and guests	
Is a log made of the checks?	yes
Are the following elements of the check recorded:	yes
when the checks took place	
who did the check	
what they tested or checked	
resulting actions	
Do all staff know how to report and record concerns?	yes
Are new devices and services checked to ensure that filtering and monitoring systems work before	yes
releasing them to staff and pupils?	
Have blocklists been reviewed and are they modified in line with changes to safeguarding risks	yes
Has the filtering system been checked using the SWGfL's testing tool for:	yes
illegal child sexual abuse material	
unlawful terrorist content	
adult content	
Your filtering system should block harmful and inappropriate content, without unreasonably impacting t	eaching and learning
Technical requirements to meet the standard	
Is your filtering provider	yes
• a member of Internet Watch Foundation (IWF)	
• signed up to Counter-Terrorism Internet Referral Unit list (CTIRU)	
blocking access to illegal content including child sexual abuse material (CSAM)	
Is the school's filtering operational and applied to all:	evidence when anyone logs in or sites blocked.
users, including guest accounts	evidence when anyone logs in or sites blocked.
• school owned devices	
• devices using the school broadband connection Does the filtering system:	filtering needs to be in different languages - eg Urdu/Punjabid/Farsi
	intering needs to be in different languages - eg Ordu/Punjabid/Parsi
filter all internet feeds, including any backup connections	
be age and ability appropriate for the users, and be suitable for educational settings	

		Ţ
•	handle multilingual web content, images, common misspellings and abbreviations	
•	identify technologies and techniques that allow users to get around the filtering such as VPNs and	
r	proxy services and block them	
	provide alerts when any web content has been blocked	
	Has the provider confirmed that filtering is being applied to mobile and app content?	evident when trying to log in
	Has a technical monitoring system been applied to devices using mobile or app content?	
	Does the filtering system identify:	yes
	device name or ID, IP address, and where possible, the individual	
	the time and date of attempted access	
	the search term or content being blocked	
A	Are there any additional levels of protection for users on top of the filtering service, for example,	Safesearch
	SafeSearch or a child-friendly search engine?	
	Are staff aware that they should make a report when:	CPD covering aspects of KCSIE which is relevant to all staff and any other
	they witness or suspect unsuitable material has been accessed	policies (September 2023)
	• they can access unsuitable material	pointing (Copie in So. 2020)
	• they are teaching topics which could create unusual activity on the filtering logs	
	• there is failure in the software or abuse of the system	
	• there are perceived unreasonable restrictions that affect teaching and learning or administrative tasks	
	they notice abbreviations or misspellings that allow access to restricted material	
	Does the school meet the Broadband Internet Standards?	yes
	Does the school meet the Cyber Security Standards?	yes
	Two important elements of the Cyber Security Standards are that all staff who can access the IT	
/	Network have Basic CyberSecurity Awareness Training annually; and that at least one governor access	
t	this training.	
(Cyber Security Training from the National Cyber Security Centre can be found here as a PPT slide deck	
	and a self-learn video	
I	Have all staff who use the school's IT Network had annual Basic Cyber Security Training?	All staff have completed basic Cyber security awareness – scheduled to
		repeat this
1	Has a least one governor attended a Basic Cyber Security training session?	Chair of Governors has this as an action for this term
	ould have effective monitoring strategies that meet the safeguarding needs of your school or colle	
	Does the monitoring system review user activity on school and college devices effectively? (For	Incidents are picked up urgently and addressed - responses need to be
	example, does it pick up incidents urgently, through alerts or observations, allowing prompt action to be	recorded
		recorded
	aken; and is the response recorded?	
	Has the governing body or proprietor supported the SLT to make sure effective device monitoring is in	yes
	place which meets this standard and the risk profile of the school or college?	
	Does the monitoring system ensure that incidents, whether of a malicious, technical, or safeguarding	these are picked up by the HoS or Federation DSL (CW)
	nature are picked up urgently?	
	s it clear to all staff how to deal with these incidents and who should lead on any actions?	all staff are compliant in following policies and regular reminders are
		incorporated into CPD and weekly briefings
	Does the DSL take lead responsibility for any safeguarding and child protection matters that are picked	followed up by HoS or DSL and any concerns recorded on CPOMS
	up through monitoring?	all training is up to date
	Has the DSL had training to ensure that their knowledge is current?	ves
	Have IT staff had training to ensure that their knowledge is current?	n/a – external company used
	Does the school's monitoring technology apply to mobile devices or content used in apps?	filters are checked on iPads aswell as other school devices
	Are monitoring procedures reflected in the school's Acceptable Use Policy and integrated into relevant	Acceptable Use is in place and signed by all staff and governors aswell as
	online safety, safeguarding and organisational policies, such as privacy notices?	pupils